

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/960,078 | Applicant(s) LEMAIRE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Geoffrey L. Knable | Art Unit 1733 | |

All Participants:

(1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

(2) Howard Cohn.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:


n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner left a message to inform applicant's representative that the 11-29-2004 amendment after final had been received and was not being entered as raising new issues. The examiner also indicated that the 7-19-2004 IDS was also still non-compliant and that an Advisory Action would be mailed shortly. .